UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,397	07/01/2005	Hiroshi Yamazaki	01165.0943	6309
22852 7590 05/24/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3765		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·						
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/541,397	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shaun R. Hurley	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 h	<u> 1arch 2007</u> .					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er ·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	<del>-</del>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/28/07</u> .	6) Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/541,397

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hietpas et al (2003/0136099).

Hietpas teaches a yarn for use in a stretchable fabric comprising 30% by weight or more of polytrimethylene terephthalate based staple fiber (Abstract; Summary of the Invention). In regards to it being a sewing thread, this is intended use. In regards to the claimed test results and properties, including breaking elongation and instantaneous elastic recovery, these are all inherent properties of the material as claimed. Since Hietpas teaches the claimed material, in the claimed structure, he also inherently teaches all inherent material properties.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hietpas.

Hietpas essentially teaches the invention as detailed above, but fails to specifically teach using as a seam thread. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

A -4 T I - '4: 27/6

Art Unit: 3765

the invention was made, to utilize the thread of Hietpas as a sewing thread, so as to provide its taught stretchability to all aspects of a fabric. The ordinarily skilled artisan would appreciate these teachings and know to do such, so as to improve the overall stretchability of the fabric.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 01 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hietpas does not specifically disclose its use as a sewing thread, and as such, cannot teach such a use, supporting his argument with the argument that the instantaneous elastic recovery of Hietpas exceeds the value of his invention. Examiner disagrees insomuch as the ranges of each overlap. Hietpas teaches 70-100%, Applicant requires 30-75%, creating an overlap of 70-75%. Applicant then states that additionally, Hietpas relates to a spun yarn composed of cotton, PET, and PTT, and that the only similarity between it and the present invention is the 30% PTT. Again, Examiner disagrees insomuch as Applicant's claims are openended and may comprise identical materials. As such, Hietpas anticipates the claims as written. In regards to the dependent claims, Applicant has elected not to specifically address them outside of the Hietpas rejection, and as such, Examiner has nothing to formally respond to.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3765

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

SRH 27 April 2007